



COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

RE: Application Serial No.: 10/717,661

Applicants: W. Allan MERZ, et al.

Filing Date: November 21, 2003

For: ELECTRIC FURNACE BOTTOM PROBE

Group Art Unit: 2856

Examiner: RAEVIS, R.

OBLON
SPIVAK
MCCLELLAND
MAIER
&
NEUSTADT
P.C.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

J. DEREK MASON (703) 413-3000 DMASON@OBLON.COM

CHRISTOPHER D. WARD SENIOR ASSOCIATE (703) 413-3000 CWARD@OBLON.COM

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Our check in the amount of \$0.00 is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAIER & NEUSTADT F.C.

J. Derek Mason

Registration No. 35,270

Customer Number

22850

(703) 413-3000 (phone) (703) 413-2220 (fax) Christopher D. Ward Registration No. 41,367



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF

W. Allan MERZ, et al. : EXAMINER: RAEVIS, R.

SERIAL NO: 10/717,661

FILED: November 21, 2003 : GROUP ART UNIT: 2856

FOR: ELECTRIC FURNACE

BOTTOM PROBE

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

HONORABLE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

SIR:

In response to the Restriction Requirement dated April 13, 2004, the Applicants elect with traverse the invention of Group I corresponding to Claims 1-42 and 55-74.

The Applicants respectfully traverse the restriction requirement based on MPEP § 803, which states:

... If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to distinct or independent inventions.

The claims of the present invention would appear to be part of an overlapping search area.

Accordingly, the Applicants respectfully traverse the outstanding restriction requirement on the grounds that a search and examination of the entire application would not place a *serious* burden on the Examiner.

Application Serial No.: 10/717,661

Response to Restriction Requirement dated April 13, 2004

Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the requirement to elect a single invention be withdrawn, and that a full examination on the merits of Claims 1-74 be conducted.

Respectfully Submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAIER & NEUSTADT, P,C.

J. Derek Mason

Registration No. 35,270

Attorney of Record

Christopher D. Ward Registration No. 41,367

Customer Number

22850

Tel. (703) 413-3000 Fax. (703) 413-2220 (OSMMN 10/01)

JDM:CDW:brf

1:\atty\cdw\209127US23\Response to Restriction Requirement.doc